Comparison of NCQA, Health Care Authority, Medical Assistance Administration, and DOH's Coordinated Quality Improvement Program Standards

	NCQA STANDARD	HCA	MAA	*1996	DOH	
	MANAGEMENT AND IMPROVEMENT				-	
	Program Description					
	Written Description	✓	✓	√		
	Reviewed annually, updated as necessary	✓			√	
QI 1.3	Senior executive responsibility	✓	✓	√		
QI 1.4	Medical director substantially involved	✓	✓	✓		
QI 1.5	QI committee	✓	✓	1	√	
QI 1.6	Specifies role, structure, and function for QI committee	✓	1	✓	✓	
QI 1.7	Providers participate in QI committee	✓	✓	1	√	
QI 1.8	Adequate resources are available				✓	
QI 1.9	Committee actions are recorded		1	√	✓	
QI 1.10	Annual work plan			✓		
QI 2.0	Accountability to the Governing Body					
QI 2.1	Formally designed oversight of QI committee		1	✓	✓	
QI 2.2	Documentation approving the QI program			✓		
QI 2.3	Documentation approving the QI plan			✓		
QI 2.4	Written reports from the QI program		1	✓	✓	
QI 2.5	Written annual QI report reviewed			1	✓	
QI 3.0	Coordination with Other Management Activity					
QI 3.1	Information used for recredentialing, reconstructing, and performance evaluations				1	
QI 3.2	Coordination with utilization review; risk management; complaints and grievances				1	
QI 3.3	Linkage with other management functions					
QI 4.0	Provider Contracts					
QI 5.0	Scope and Content					
QI 5.1	Comprehensive scope (clinical care and quality of service)	/	✓	1		
QI 5.2	members may offer suggestions				1	
QI 5.3	Monitoring and evaluation of clinical issues reflects demographics of people served	1	1	/		
QI 5.4	Monitoring and evaluation of clinical issues includes all care settings and types	1	1	1		

QI 6.0	Important Aspects of Care and Service				
QI 6.1	Includes high-volume, high-risk services; acute; and chronic care			1	
QI 6.2	Practice guidelines are used, reviewed, and updated				1
QI 6.3	Continuity and coordination of care is reviewed				1
QI 6.4	Under-utilization and over-utilization are monitored				1
QI 7.0	Access to Care and Service				
QI 8.0	Health Management Systems				
QI 8.1	Facilities effective health promotion				
QI 8.2	Promotes effective health management				
QI 8.3	Informs and educates providers about health promotion and management				
Q1 0.3	programs				
QI 9.0	Measurement and Improvement				
QI 9.1	Indicators are objective, measurable, and current	✓		✓	1
QI 9.2	Appropriate methods and frequency of data collections are used	✓		✓	1
	Data is analyzed	✓	1	✓	
QI 10.0	Action and Follow-Up				
QI 10.1	Results of evaluations are used to improve care	✓	1	✓	
QI 10.2	Improvement proposals are tracked to assure action is taken	✓	1	✓	1
QI 10.3	Issues are followed-up to determine effectiveness	✓	1	✓	
	Effectiveness of the QI Program				
QI 11.1	Annual written report				1
	Evidence of improved care and services provided				
	TON MANAGEMENT				
UM 1.0	Utilization Management Program				
UM 1.1	Minimum includes: policies and procedures to evaluation medical necessity				
	and provide services	✓	42 CRF 456	✓	
UM 1.2	Periodic review and update				1
UM 2.0	Qualified Professional Review for Pre-authorization and Concurrent				
OIVI Z.U	Review				
	Physician reviews medical appropriateness on any denial	✓		✓	
	Board certified specialists are used as needed				
	Utilization Review Protocols				
	Documented criteria are available to participating physicians	✓		✓	✓
	Consistency is checked				
	Criteria are reviewed and updated periodically				✓
	All Necessary Information is Obtained			✓	✓
UM 5.0	Decisions are Timely			1	

UM 6.0	Reasons for Denial are Clearly Documented			√	
	Medical Technologies				
UM 7.1	Appropriate professionals develop technology evaluation criteria				
UM 7.2	Evidence of program approval and report evaluation				✓
UM 8.0	Member Satisfaction	✓		✓	✓
	Contract Oversight				
UM 9.1	Written description of activities, accountability, report, and evaluation				✓
	Evidence of program approval and report evaluation		✓	✓	
CREDEN					
CR 1.0	Written Policies and Procedures for Credentialing, Recertification,				
	Reappointment	✓	✓	✓	
CR 2.0	Governing Body Formally Delegates Credentialing			✓	✓
CR 3.0	Credentialing Committee or Other Peer Review Body Recommends				
	Decisions				
	Practitioners are Identified	✓	✓	✓	
	Initial Credentialing				✓
	Current valid license		✓		✓
	Hospital privileges are in good standing		✓		✓
	Valid DEA or CDS certificate		✓		✓
	Graduation, residency, board certification		✓		✓
	Work history		✓		✓
	Current, adequate malpractice insurance		✓		✓
	Professional liability claims history		✓		✓
CR 6.0	Application				
CR 6.1	Physical and mental status, chemical/substance abuse, license or felony				
	convictions, disciplinary activities or loss or limitation of privileges		✓		✓
	Correctness and completeness attested				
	Information from Monitoring Organizations				
	National Practitioner Data Bank		✓	✓	✓
	Washington State Medical Quality Assurance Commission		✓	✓	✓
	Medicare and Medicaid		✓	✓	
	Site Visit				
CR 8.1	Structured site and record keeping review				
	Contracted Health Care Organizations				
	Hospitals				
	Home health agencies				
CR 9.3	Nursing homes				

CR 9.4	Free-standing surgical centers				
CR 10.0	Recredentialing and Recertification				
CR 10.1	Implemented every two years	Periodic			✓
	Verify: valid state license; hospital privileges in good standing; valid DEA or				
CR 10.2	CDS certification; board certified; current, adequate malpractice insurance;				
	professional liability claims history				✓
CR 10.3	Statement regarding physical and mental health status; chemical				
	dependency/substance abuse				
	Information and Monitoring Organizations				
	National Practitioner Data Bank				✓
	Washington State Medical Quality Assurance Commission				✓
CR 11.3	Medicare and Medicaid				
	Data Review				
CR 12.1	Member complaints				✓
	Quality review results				
	Utilization Management				✓
	Member satisfaction surveys				✓
	On-Site Visit				
CR 13.1	Structured site and record keeping review				
CR 13.2					
	Primary care providers, obstetricians/gynecologists, high-volume specialists				
CR 14.0	Practitioner Privileges				
CR 14.1	Report mechanism for serious quality deficiencies resulting in suspension or				
	termination				
	Appeal process				
	Delegated Contractor Oversight				
	Written description of delegated activities and accountability				
	MCO retains the right to approve, terminate, suspend providers				
	Annual review to monitor effectiveness		✓	✓	
	S' RIGHTS AND RESPONSIBILITIES				
RR 1.0	Member Rights				
RR 1.1	Policies to: voice grievances; be provided information; participate in decision-	1			
	making; privacy and respect	✓	1	✓	
	Member Responsibilities				
	Policies for: providing information; following instructions and guidelines	✓	1	✓	
	Policies Provided to Providers and Members	✓	1	✓	
RR 4.0	Complaints and Grievances				

RR 4.1	Procedures for: registering and responding to complaints; and monitoring in a timely fashion	1	1	1	/
RR 4.2	Document substance and actions taken	✓	✓	√	
RR 4.3	Procedures to ensure resolution of complaint	1	✓	√	
RR 4.4	Aggregation and analysis of complaint data	✓	✓	✓	
RR 4.5	An appeal process	✓	✓	1	
RR 5.0	Member Information				
RR 5.1	Specialty care; emergency coverage; changes in benefits, offices; charges; complaint process	1	1	1	
RR 5.2	Services are accessible				✓
RR 6.0	Comprehensive Information				
RR 6.1	Information is readable, understandable, and consumer tested	1	√	√	
RR 6.2	Information is available in the language of the major populations served	√	√	√	
	Confidentiality				
RR 7.1	Written confidentiality policies and procedures	1	✓	✓	
RR 7.2	MCO ensures that offices/sites have confidentiality operationalized				✓
RR 7.3	Patients approve or refuse release of identifiable personal information			✓	
RR 8.0	Member Satisfaction				
RR 8.1	Periodically sample patient complaints, change requests, and				
	disenrollments	✓		√	
RR 8.2	Periodic customer satisfaction surveys	✓		✓	✓
RR 8.3	Identify sources of dissatisfaction	✓		✓	
RR 8.4	Address sources of dissatisfaction	√		√	
	Provide results	✓		✓	
RR 9.0	Delegated Contractor Oversight				
RR 9.1	Written description of delegated activities, accountability, reporting and evaluation				
	Evidence of program approval and report evaluation				
	TIVE HEALTH SERVICES	_			
	Practice Guidelines for Preventative Health Services				
PH 1.1	Based on reasonable medical evidence	1		√	
	Providers involved	1		√	
	Reviewed and updated periodically	✓		√	
PH 1.4	Developed for the full spectrum of enrolled populations	✓		✓	
_	Providers Informed About the Guidelines	✓		✓	
	Members Informed About the Guidelines	1		✓	
PH 4.0	Population-Based Preventive Studies				

PH 4.1	Childhood immunizations				
PH 4.2	Adult immunizations				
PH 4.3	Coronary artery disease risk factory screening				
PH 4.4	Cancer screening				
PH 4.5	Counseling for prevention of motor vehicle injury				
PH 4.6	Lead toxicity screening				
PH 4.7	STD screening/prevention				
PH 4.8	Prenatal care				
PH 4.9	HIV screening/prevention				
PH 4.10	Unintended pregnancy prevention				
	Alcohol/drug abuse screening/prevention				
	RECORDS				
MR 1.0	Records are Current, Detailed, Organized and Permit Effective				
WIK 1.0	patient Care and Quality Review				
MR 1.1	Records reflect all aspects of care	1	1	1	
	Records are available to providers at each encounter and to reviewers				
1 6/10/20/1	MCO Systematically Reviews Records for Standards Conference				
	and Institutes Corrective Action if Indicated	1		1	
MR 3.0	Documentation of Record Review				